1 2 3 4 5 6 7	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF Supervising Deputy Attorney General SUSANA A. GONZALES Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 253027 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2221 Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 Attorneys for Complainant
8 9 10	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: Case No. 2011 - 443
12 13 14 15 16	GERALD RUD, a.k.a. GERALD ARTHUR RUD, a.k.a. GERALD ARTHER RUD 800 W. Queen Creek Rd Unit 2018 Chandler, AZ 85248 Respondent.
17	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	PARTIES 1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official capacity as the Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about February 10, 2010, the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of Consumer Affairs received an application for a Registered Nursing License from Gerald Rud, also known as Gerald Arthur Rud, also known as Gerald Arther Rud (Respondent). On or about February 10, 2010, Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all

statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on August 9, 2010.

JURISDICTION

3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Registered Nursing (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY/REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 2736 of the Business and Professions Code (Code) provides, in pertinent part, that the Board of Registered Nursing (Board) may deny a license when it finds that the applicant has committed any acts constituting grounds for denial of licensure under section 480 of that Code.
 - 5. Section 480 of the Code states:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendre. Any action which a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- "(3) Done any act which if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.

"The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of the business or profession for which application is made."

6. Section 2761 of the Code states:

"The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- "(a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- "(f) Conviction of a felony or of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered nurse, in which event the record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof."
 - 7. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1444, states, in pertinent part:

"A conviction or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a registered nurse if to a substantial degree it evidences the present or potential unfitness of a registered nurse to practice in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Unprofessional Conduct – Convictions) (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 480(a)(1), 2761(f))

- 8. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 480, subdivision (a)(1), and 2761, subdivision (f), in that he was convicted of offenses substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a Registered Nurse, within the meaning of California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1444. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about February 11, 1987, in a criminal proceeding in the District Court for the County of Grand Forks entitled *The State of North Dakota vs. Gerald A. Rud*, Information Number C17-87, Respondent was convicted by guilty plea of violating North Dakota Century Code sections 12.1-22-02 and 12.1-32-01(4) (burglary), a felony. The circumstances are that on or about November 27, 1986, Respondent willfully entered and remained in a trailer home located at Northland Mobile Homes, Grand Forks, North Dakota, with the intent to commit a theft. On or about April 6, 1987, Respondent was sentenced to one year in the North Dakota State Farm at Bismarck. Respondent was ordered to serve 60 days in G.F. County Correctional Center, with the

remainder of his sentence suspended for two years. Respondent was also sentenced to two years of supervised probation upon release.

- b. On or about August 6, 1990, in a criminal proceeding in the State of Minnesota District Court for the County of Polk in the Ninth Judicial District entitled *The State of Minnesota v. Gerald Arthur Rud*, File Number K3-87-1080, Respondent was convicted by guilty plea of violating Minnesota Penal Code sections 609.52(2)(1), 609.52(3)(3)(a), and 609.52(3)(7) (felony theft), a felony. The circumstances are that on or about August 19, 1987, and on or about August 28, 1987, Respondent took money from Point Discount in East Grand Forks, Minnesota without the consent of any officer or employee of Point Discount, and with the intent to permanently deprive Point Discount of possession of the money. On or about August 28, 1990, Respondent was sentenced to 12 months and one day in jail with the execution of his sentence stayed for five years. Respondent was also sentenced to probation under the supervision of the Tri-County Community Corrections Authority.
- c. On or about August 5, 1993, in a criminal proceeding in the Municipal Court of California in the Santa Clara County Judicial District entitled *The State of Minnesota v. Gerald Arthur Rud*, Case Number E9380913, Respondent was convicted by plea of no contest of violating California Penal Code sections 484 and 487.1 (grand theft), a felony. The circumstances are that on or about May 29, 1993, Respondent unlawfully took personal property exceeding \$400.00 from Richard Newmark. On or about August 31, 1993, Respondent was sentenced to 10 months in the county jail, with three days credit for time served. Respondent was further sentenced to five years of formal probation, ordered to pay fines, and ordered to stay away from the victim.
- d. On or about February 2, 1999, in a criminal proceeding in the Municipal Court of California in the Santa Clara County Judicial District entitled *The People of the State of California v. Gerald Arthur Rud*, Case Number C9875531, Respondent was convicted by plea of no contest of violating California Vehicle Code section 14601.5 (driving on a suspended license), a misdemeanor. The circumstances are that on or about January 26, 1998, Respondent drove a vehicle while his driving privilege was suspended and revoked pursuant to Vehicle Code Section

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

13353, with knowledge of the suspension and revocation. On or about February 16, 1999, Respondent was ordered to pay a fine.

- On or about July 14, 2003, in a criminal proceeding in the Superior Court of the e. State of Arizona, County of Maricopa, entitled The People of the State of Arizona v. Gerald A. Rud, Case Number 2002-093457, Respondent was convicted by guilty plea of violating Arizona Revised Statutes sections 28-1381(A)(1), 28-1383(A)(1), 28-3304, 28-3305, 28-1383(J)(2), 28-1461, 13-701, and 13-801 (driving while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, any drug, a vapor releasing substance containing a toxic substance or any combination thereof, and while his driver's license or privilege to drive was suspended, canceled, or revoked), a felony. The circumstances are that on or about October 6, 2000, Respondent drove, or was in actual physical control of a vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, any drug, a vapor releasing substance containing a toxic substance, or any combination of liquor, drugs, or vapor releasing substance while his driver's license or privilege to drive was suspended, cancelled, revoked or refused, or in violation of a restriction placed on his driver's license as a result of violating one of several Arizona Revised Statutes. On or about July 29, 2003, Respondent was sentenced to four months in the Arizona Department of Corrections. Respondent was also sentenced to five years of probation and ordered to pay restitution, fines, and fees.
- f. On or about July 6, 2010, in a criminal proceeding in the Superior Court of Santa Clara entitled *The People of the State of California v. Gerald Arther Rud, a.k.a. Gerald Arthur Rud*, Case Number E1007495, Respondent was convicted by plea of no contest of violating California Penal Code sections 484 and 488 (petty theft), a misdemeanor. The circumstances are that on or about October 8, 2009, Respondent unlawfully took personal property exceeding \$400.00 from Mariann Wells. On or about July 6, 2010, Respondent was sentenced to 60 days in jail with nine days credit for time served. Respondent was also sentenced to one year of court-supervised probation.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Unprofessional Conduct) (Bus. & Prof. Code § 2761(a))

- 9. Complainant realleges the allegations contained in paragraph 8, subdivisions (a) through (f) above, and incorporates them as if fully set forth.
- 10. Respondent's criminal convictions for burglary, as alleged in paragraph 8, subdivision (a); felony theft, as alleged in paragraph 8, subdivision (b); grand theft, as alleged in paragraph 8, subdivision (c); driving on a suspended license, as alleged in paragraph 8, subdivision (d); driving while under the influence of intoxicating liquor, drug, or any vapor-releasing substance, as alleged in paragraph 8, subdivision (e); and petty theft, as alleged in paragraph 8, subdivision (f), constitute unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Code section 2761, subdivision (a).

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Act Which if Done by Licentiate Would be Grounds for Suspension or Revocation) (Bus. & Prof. Code § 480(a)(3))

- 11. Complainant realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 8 through 10 and their subparts above, and incorporates them by reference as if fully set forth here.
- 12. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 480, subdivision (a)(3), in that Respondent committed acts, which if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license. The circumstances are more particularly set forth in Paragraphs 8 through 10 and their subparts, above.

MATTERS IN AGGRAVATION OF DENIAL OF APPLICATION

13. In or about 1984, Respondent was convicted of unauthorized use of a motor vehicle, a misdemeanor, in the State of North Dakota.